



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING/RECEIPT DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO /TITLE

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE RESPONSE Filing Date Granted

| Applicant's response of for the following reason(s). | has been entered into the record. However, the application still remains incomplete |
|--|--|
| 1. The filing fee has not been received. | The amount of \$ is due. |
| 2. The surcharge of \$ | has not been received. |
| ☐ 3. The declaration has not been receive | d. |
| 4. The oath or declaration is not execute | ed in compliance with 37 CFR 1.64(a) because: |
| ☐ a. The inventor's signature is mis:☐ b. The signature is missing for inv | sing. ventor(s) |
| c. The application is one filed und person(s) qualified to make the | der 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43, or 1.47, and the oath or declaration is not executed by the eath or declaration. |
| To prevent ABANDONMENT of this applica | ation, a complete response is required. |
| The period for response remains as set fort However, you may obtain EXTENSIONS C petition accompanied by the appropriate fee | th in the Notice to File Missing Parts dated DF TIME under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) by filing a e (37 CFR 1.17). |
| Direct the response and any questions about | ut this notice to "Attention: Box Missing Parts." |

A copy of this notice $\underline{\textit{MUST}}$ be returned with the response.

Customer Service Center Initial Patent Examination Division (703) 308-1202

PART 2-COPY TO BE RETURNED WITH RESPONSE





UNITED ST DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and ademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 $C_{n,n} = \{ \cdot \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

FILING/RECEIPT DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO /TITLE

1. 1. 1 to d. 1. 2. 2.

- And State of Stat

th ? ASSIGNED

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS OF APPLICATION Filing Date Granted

0.145 0.00

| • |
|--|
| An Application Number and Filing Date have been assigned to this application. However, the items indicated below are missing. The required items and fees identified below must be timely submitted ALONG WITH THE PAYMENT OF A SURCHARGE for items 1 and 3-6 only of for a large entity small entity in compliance with 37 CFR 1.27. The surcharge is set forth in 37 CFR 1.16(e). Applicant is given TWO MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE within which to file all required items and pay any fees required above to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). |
| If all required items on this form are filed within the period set above, the total amount owed by applicant as a ☑ large entity ☐ small entity (verified statement filed), is \$ |
| ☐ 1. The statutory basic filing fee is: ☐ missing. ☐ insufficient. Applicant must submit \$ |
| 2. Additional claim fees of \$, including any multiple dependent claim fees, are required. Applicant must either submit the additional claim fees or cancel additional claims for which fees are due. |
| □ 3. The oath or declaration: □ is missing. □ does not cover the newly submitted items. □ does not identify the application to which it applies. □ does not include the city and state or foreign country of applicant's residence. An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 63, including residence information and identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date is required. |
| 4. The signature(s) to the oath or declaration is/are: missing. by a person other than inventor or person qualified under 37 CFR 1.42, 1.43, or 1.47. A properly signed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63, identifying the application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required. |
| ☐ 5. The signature of the following joint inventor(s) is missing from the oath or declaration: |
| An oath or declaration listing the names of all inventors and signed by the omitted inventor(s), identifying this application by the above Application Number and Filing Date, is required. |
| ☐ 6. A \$ processing fee is required since your check was returned without payment (37 CFR 1.21(m)). |
| ☐ 7. Your filing receipt was mailed in error because your check was returned without payment. |
| 8. The application does not comply with the Sequence Rules. See attached "Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules 37 CFR 1.821-1.825." |
| □ 9. OTHER: |
| Direct the response and any questions about this notice to "Attention: Box Missing Parts." |

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the response.







IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

John W. Liskowitz et al

EXAMINER:

Not Assigned

SERIAL NO.:

09/234,810

ART UNIT

1755

FILED

January 21, 1999

FOR

METHOD FOR PREPARING FLY ASH FOR HIGH

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH CONCRETE AND MORTAR, AND

COMPOSITIONS THEREOF

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231 on October 13, 1999.

<u>David A. Jackson, Reg. #26,742</u> (Name of Registered Representative)

(Signature and Date)

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE RESPONSE

BOX MISSING PARTS ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby respond to the above-stated communication which indicates the absence of a signature of inventor Dennis Just. Documents submitted and discussed herein below will clarify that the circumstances surrounding the absence of Dr. Just's signature was inadequately explained in the response to the Notice To File Missing Parts which was filed on July 14, 1999, and that the absence of the signature of Dr. Just on the Declaration and Power of Attorney should not pose an obstacle to the further processing of the present Application to the pending status for purposes of examination.

In particular, inventor Just was named as a co-applicant in parent Application Serial No. 08/768.167, filed December 13, 1996, from which the present Application is a Continuation-in-Part. In the processing of the parent Application for the formal grant of filing date and establishment of pendency. Applicant by their representative submitted on September 3, 1997, a Petition Under 35 USC §118 and 1.47(a) establishing the completion of the filing requirements by the execution of the remaining co-inventors, then John W. Liskowitz and Methi Wecharatana. This Petition set forth in relevant part, that Dr. Just was contacted and requested to execute a Declaration and Power of Attorney to complete filing requirements. Dr. Just did not respond to this request and instead, correspondence was received from Dr. Just's employer indicating that Dr. Just would not be permitted to execute the Declaration. The full text of the Petition and the documents that accompanied it are attached hereto for review. The circumstances regarding Dr. Just and the company Union Process remain the same, and efforts to contact Dr. Just have met with failure.

As the circumstances surrounding the refusal of Dr. Just to execute the Declaration were previously determined by the Patent and Trademark Office to justify the continued processing of the present Application and the determination that the signature of the remaining co-inventors constituted a satisfaction of the requirement for execution of the Application, such relief is believed to be in order in the present instance as well in view of the relationship of the Applications and the underlying subject matter. Accordingly, Applicants believe that the attached documents and the present response constitute a proper and adequate response and resolution of the issues raised in the Notice of Incomplete

PATENT 715-1-060 CIP

Response, and accordingly request the further and favorable processing of the present Application to the pending roles and the commencement of the prosecution hereof.

Respectfully submitted,

KLAUBER & JACKSON

David A. Jackson

Attorney for Applicant(s) Registration No. 26,742

KLAUBER & JACKSON 411 Hackensack Avenue Hackensack NJ 07601 Tel: (201) 487-5800

Date: October 13, 1999

Enclosure

OT 18 1999 80 AMADEMARY COLOR

KLAUBER & JACKSON

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
CONTINENTAL PLAZA
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE
HACKENSACK, NEW JERSEY 07601

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT CAUSES

FACSIMILE: (201) 343-1684
INTERNET: 626-1210@MCIMAIL.COM

STEFAN J. KLAUBER DAVID A. JACKSON BARBARA L. RENDA RAYMOND M. SPEER® PAUL F. FEHLNER MICHAEL D. DAVIS® JOSEPH M. HOMA LORI B. COHEN®

COUNSEL

JACK MATALON

LAWRENCE D. MANDEL

SAR OTHER THAN N.J.

March 12, 1997

Union Process Inc. 1925 Akron Peninsula Road Akron, Ohio 44313

Attention:

Dr. Dennis Just

Re:

U.S. Patent Application

Inventors

John W. Liskowitz et al.

Title

METHOD FOR PREPARING FLY ASH

FOR HIGH COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

CONCRETE AND MORTAR, AND COMPOSITIONS THEREOF

Serial No.

08/768.167

Filed

December 13, 1996

NJIT Reference

96-02

Our Reference

715-1-060

Dear Dr. Just:

Further to our letter of December 6, 1996, we advise that we have received the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application dated March 3, 1997 in the above-identified Application. A copy of the Notice is enclosed.

We enclose the following formal papers for execution: a) Declaration and Power of Attorney and b) Assignment.

Please review the Application, a copy of which was forwarded in our letter of December 6, 1996. When the review has been completed, please execute the Declaration using blue ink in the appropriate place, making sure that the date of execution is included at the time of signing the Declaration. After the Declaration is executed, you should sign and date the Assignment, which should be notarized.

Please note that the deadline for filing the formal papers with the U.S. Patent and Trademark

Union Process Inc. March 12, 1997 Page - 2 -

Our Reference: 715-1-060

Office before incurring time extension fees is May 3, 1997.

With respect to the Application, your attention is directed to the conditions for patentability as set forth in Section 102 of Part 35 of the United States Code which, in part, requires that the subject invention was never known or used in the United States of America before the invention was made, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before the invention was made (or more than one year prior to this Application), or in public use or on sale in the United States more than one year prior to this Application.

Section 102 also requires that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventors' certificate issued before the date of this Application, in any country foreign to the U.S. on any Application filed by the inventors or their legal representatives or assigns more than 12 months prior to this Application. You should understand that the expression "date of this Application" as used above actually refers to the date on which this Application was filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, *i.e.*, December 13, 1996.

The above comments are offered for your consideration at this time, as the Declaration and Power of Attorney form that you will execute is a representation under penalty of law that you are aware of the foregoing conditions for patentability and that the subject matter of this Application, in your belief, meets these conditions.

Very truly yours,

KLAUBER & JACKSON

By:

Paul F. Fehlner, Ph.D.

PFF/rf Enclosure

cc:

Dr. Peter B. Lederman (w/enclosure)

Mr. Arno Szegvari





1925 Akron-Peninsula Road Akron, Ohio 44313-4896 Telephone: (330)929-3333 FAX: (330)929-3034

TELECOMMUNICATION

To:

Klauber & Jackson

Date:

March 17, 1997

Attn.:

Paul F. Fehlner, Ph.D.

From:

Arno Szegvari

Fax No.:

201-343-1684

Msg.: 108

Total number of pages (including this page) \. If you do not receive all pages, please let us know. Our fax number (24 hrs/day) is: 330/929-3034.

RE: John W. Liskowitz, et al. (Your Reference 715-1-060)

We are in receipt of your letter and the accompanying documents dated March 12, 1997. As I indicated in my fax of December 13, 1996, we have some serious concerns whether Denny Just can sign these documents as Inventor. In addition, by contract, an invention by Denny Just is assignable to Union Process. At this time, Union Process has no desire to assign any patent rights.

We also note the comments in your letter regarding the implications of signing the Declaration, and we are aware of the importance of accuracy in representations made in a document of this type. In that regard, as noted above and in my letter of December 13, 1996, we believe there are some areas which need to be carefully examined.

If you wish to pursue this further, we must request that you reimburse us for the legal fees involved in having this matter reviewed by our patent attorneys, as well as for our time involved in checking through our records.

Sincerel

Árno Szegvari President

AS/jst

cc:

Mr. Reese Taylor Renner, Kenner, et al.